

AUTHORIZING THE CLERK TO MAKE CORRECTIONS IN ENGROSSMENT OF H.R. 3, TRANSPORTATION EQUITY ACT: A LEGACY FOR USERS

Mr. YOUNG of Alaska. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that in the engrossment of the bill, H.R. 3, the Clerk be authorized to correct section numbers, punctuation, and cross references, and to make such other necessary technical and conforming changes as may be necessary to reflect the actions of the House.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Alaska?

There was no objection.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. YOUNG of Alaska. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on H.R. 3.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Alaska?

There was no objection.

MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

A message in writing from the President of the United States was communicated to the House by Mrs. Wanda Evans, one of his secretaries.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. LEWIS of Georgia asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. LEWIS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I take this time to ask the gentleman from California (Mr. DREIER) about the schedule for next week.

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. LEWIS of Georgia. I yield to the gentleman from California.

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, as Members have noticed, we have completed our legislative work for the week, and the House will convene on Monday at 2 p.m. for legislative business. We will consider several measures under suspension of the rules. A final list of those bills will be sent to Members' offices by the end of this week. Any votes on those measures that are debated on Monday will be taken at 6:30 p.m. on Monday.

On Tuesday and the balance of the week, the House will convene at 10 a.m.; it is important to know that it is 10 a.m. on Tuesday, for legislative business. We expect to consider two bills under a rule, the Emergency Wartime Supplemental and the Budget Resolution for Fiscal Year 2006.

Finally, I would like to remind all Members that next week is a 5-day work week. We will have votes on Monday, and it will be a very, very active week as we head into the recess. We

may work some late nights next week. It is quite possible that there will be votes; Members should expect them on Friday as we head into the spring district work period.

Mr. LEWIS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I would like for my friend, the gentleman from California (Mr. DREIER), if he could, to be a little more definite about next Friday.

Mr. DREIER. As the gentleman knows, we have these two critically important measures that need to be considered next week, the supplemental appropriations bill, which is a very high priority to ensure that our men and women in uniform in Iraq have the resources necessary to complete their very important job over there. We all know there is strong bipartisan support for our troops. And of course, making sure that we complete the important budget resolution.

In light of the fact that we have those two priorities that need to be addressed next week, I am saying I think it is quite possible that Members could anticipate votes on Friday.

Mr. LEWIS of Georgia. Is the gentleman telling us that the supplemental appropriations will come up next Tuesday?

Mr. DREIER. I would say we anticipate that we will see the supplemental bill considered before we consider the budget resolution. And the Committee on Rules is planning to meet Monday evening for consideration of the supplemental appropriation bill. We cannot say with absolute certainty when exactly it will come up, but it is the first thing scheduled legislatively beyond the measures that we will consider under suspension of the rules. I think it is quite possible it will be on Tuesday.

Mr. LEWIS of Georgia. What kind of rule will we have? Will it be an open rule or a restricted rule?

Mr. DREIER. I am privileged to serve as chairman of the Committee on Rules, but it is impossible for me at this juncture to predict exactly what this 13-member committee that spends hours deliberating over major public policy issues will do at the end of the day on this. I can assure the gentleman it will be a fair and balanced rule. But I will oppose putting it out unless it is a fair and balanced rule that allows for consideration of very important measures.

I know my colleague joins me in wanting to ensure that we get the resources necessary to our men and women in uniform who are having such great success in Iraq. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. LEWIS of Georgia. I ask my friend from California which day would he expect us to consider the budget and what type of rule would he anticipate for considering the budget?

Mr. DREIER. I am happy to say that, again, that we anticipate that the first major measure of the week that we will consider, following suspensions, will be the supplemental appropriations bill.

And the budget itself will likely be considered in the Committee on Rules on Tuesday evening. And again, I cannot predict exactly what shape the rule will take. In fact, when we are completed here, I will be making an announcement for the deadline for consideration of amendments. I will tell the gentleman, as in the past, the Committee on Rules has had a tendency, and I hope to continue that pattern, for actually showing preference for substitute packages that would be offered by Members. And we want to encourage Members who would like to offer budget substitutes to get those to us just as quickly as we possibly can.

Again, it is difficult to say at this moment exactly what that rule will consist of.

Mr. LEWIS of Georgia. If we have consideration of the rule on Tuesday, what day does the gentleman expect the budget to come to the Floor?

Mr. DREIER. Well, again, the supplemental appropriations bill is the first item that we will be working on, and then it will be some time later in the week. Obviously, if we do as I suspect, the Committee on Rules will make in order different substitutes; we will need to have time for that. So it will be later in the week next week. So I cannot tell the gentleman exactly what day it will be, but it will be into the week. And again, quite possibly, it could extend until Friday.

Mr. SPRATT. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Ms. LEWIS of Georgia. I yield to the gentleman from South Carolina.

Mr. SPRATT. Mr. Speaker, I would ask if my friend from California expects the rule to be on the floor Wednesday of next week?

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. LEWIS of California. I yield to the gentleman from California.

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, I am happy to say to the distinguished ranking minority member of the Committee on the Budget that it is our plan to consider the rule on the budget in the Committee on Rules probably late Tuesday afternoon. So depending on exactly what happens time-wise on consideration of the supplemental appropriations bill, it is quite possible that we could begin work on this on Wednesday, but I am not absolutely certain at this juncture what day it would be.

Mr. SPRATT. Mr. Speaker, will the deadline for filing proposed substitutes or amendments be 5 o'clock on Monday?

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, I would say that it is our intention in light of the fact that we are discussing this Thursday afternoon that the announcement that I plan to make when we complete this colloquy is that we will ask for the amendments to the budget to be submitted to the Committee on Rules by 10 a.m. on Tuesday which will allow us to meet Tuesday afternoon. So I would encourage, we are now before 3

o'clock on Thursday; I would encourage Members to begin looking at budget substitutes that they may want to offer and fashion those.

I understand it will be tomorrow before they have access to the full text, but I would encourage them tomorrow and over the weekend and on Monday to assemble those substitutes or proposed amendments that they would have, and our deadline is intended to be 10 o'clock on Tuesday morning.

Mr. SPRATT. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman very much.

Mr. LEWIS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I thank my friend from California (Mr. DREIER) for being so helpful in providing us the information.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY COMMITTEE ON RULES ON AMENDMENT PROCESS FOR CONSIDERATION OF CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2006

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, the Committee on Rules may meet the week of March 14 to grant a rule which could limit the amendment process for the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2006. Any Member who wishes to offer an amendment should submit 55 copies of the amendment and one copy of a brief explanation of the amendment to the Committee on Rules to room H-312 of the Capitol no later than 10 a.m. on Tuesday, March 15.

As in past years, the Committee on Rules intends to give priority to amendments offered as complete substitutes.

Members are advised that the text of the concurrent resolution as ordered reported by the Committee on the Budget should be available on the Web sites of both the Committee on the Budget and the Committee on Rules tomorrow, Friday, March 11.

Members should use the Office of Legislative Counsel and the Congressional Budget Office to ensure that their substitute amendments are properly drafted and scored and should check with the Office of the Parliamentarian to be certain their amendments comply with the rules of the House.

**ADJOURNMENT TO MONDAY,
MARCH 14, 2005**

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at 12:30 p.m. on Monday next for morning hour debates.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. DENT). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

**HOURLY MEETING ON TUESDAY,
MARCH 15, 2005**

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns on Monday, March 14,

2005, it adjourn to meet at 9 a.m. on Tuesday, March 15, 2005, for morning hour debates as though after May 16, 2005, thereafter to resume its session at 10 a.m.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

**DISPENSING WITH CALENDAR
WEDNESDAY BUSINESS ON
WEDNESDAY NEXT**

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the business in order under the Calendar Wednesday rule be dispensed with on Wednesday next.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

**EXPRESSING SYMPATHY AND CON-
DOLENCES TO THE FAMILIES OF
VICTIMS OF THE MADRID TER-
RORIST ATTACKS OF MARCH 11,
2004**

Mr. MCCOTTER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on International Relations be discharged from further consideration of the resolution (H. Res. 99) expressing the condolences of the House of Representatives to the families of the victims of the terrorist attacks in Madrid that occurred one year ago, on March 11, 2004, and expressing deepest sympathy to the individuals injured in those attacks and to the people of the Kingdom of Spain, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Michigan?

There was no objection.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 99

Whereas on March 11, 2004, 10 coordinated bomb explosions at the Atocha, Santa Eugenia, and El Pozo del Tio Raimundo stations and aboard a commuter train occurred during the morning rush hour in Madrid;

Whereas 191 individuals were killed and more than 1,800 individuals were wounded in these horrific terrorist attacks;

Whereas these terrorist bombing attacks were the deadliest in Europe since the bombing of Pan Am Flight 103 in 1988;

Whereas these attacks constitute the worst acts of terrorism ever experienced in Spanish history;

Whereas Spain's investigation into the Basque Fatherland and Liberty terrorist organization, also known as Euzkadi Ta Askatasuna (ETA), has made progress in the Global War on Terrorism;

Whereas the leaders of Spain have worked vigorously to identify and capture terrorists who attempt to find sanctuary in Spain;

Whereas the atrocious acts of violence committed on March 11, 2004, in Madrid show yet again that terrorism knows no borders;

Whereas the United States and Spain are committed to continuing to strengthen the

relationship between the United States and Europe;

Whereas Spain is an advocate for the ideals of freedom and democracy; and

Whereas the people of the United States stand together with the people of Spain to remember those individuals who lost their lives on the one year anniversary of these horrific terrorist attacks: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the House of Representatives—

(1) expresses its condolences to the families of the individuals killed in the terrorist bombing attacks in Madrid that occurred on March 11, 2004, and expresses its deepest sympathy to the individuals injured in such attacks and to the people of the Kingdom of Spain on the one year anniversary of the attacks;

(2) condemns the terrorist attacks that occurred on March 11, 2004, and all acts of terror worldwide; and

(3) expresses its solidarity with Spain and all other countries that have been attacked during the Global War on Terrorism.

Ms. BERKLEY. Mr. Speaker, this Friday marks the one-year anniversary of the tragic train bombing in Madrid, Spain.

The resolution I have introduced with Mr. MCCOTTER expresses the condolences of the House of Representatives to the families of individuals killed in the bombing. It also expresses solidarity with Spain and all other countries that have been attacked during the Global War on Terrorism.

These bombings were the worst acts of terrorism ever experienced in Spanish history—killing 191 individuals and injuring almost 2,000. They also marked the deadliest terrorist attacks in Europe since the 1988 bombing of Pan Am Flight 103.

Much like our own September 11th, the March 11 attacks were senseless acts of violence perpetrated by terrorists against innocent civilians. This was nothing more than an attack against freedom and democracy—perpetrated by cowards bent on turmoil and destruction.

While we have had our differences with the government of Spain over the last year—it is important that Congress reiterate its support with the people of Spain. The war on terrorism is a global conflict that knows no boundaries and does not distinguish between civilian and soldier. We have experienced its destruction first-hand, and we must stand in unity with others who have also felt this tragedy.

The relationship between the United States and Spain remains strong, and Spain remains an important ally in the war on terrorism. The Madrid bombings were a direct attack on this peace-loving government and its democratic principles.

I am deeply saddened by this senseless loss of life, and join my colleagues in expressing our most sincere condolences to the victims, their families and the people and government of the Kingdom of Spain.

The resolution was agreed to.

AMENDMENT TO PREAMBLE OFFERED BY MR. MCCOTTER

Mr. MCCOTTER. Mr. Speaker, I offer an amendment to the preamble.

The Clerk read as follows:

Amendment to preamble offered by Mr. MCCOTTER:

Strike the preamble and insert in lieu thereof:

Whereas on March 11, 2004, terrorists affiliated with Al Qaeda coordinated 10 bomb explosions at the Atocha, Santa Eugenia, and